





**REPORTING OFFICER NARRATIVE**

Sycamore Police Department

OCA 1509-00012
Date / Time Reported Wed 09/02/2015 11:23

Victim	Offense HARASSING OTHER THAN TELEPHONE
--------	---

THE INFORMATION BELOW IS CONFIDENTIAL - FOR USE BY AUTHORIZED PERSONNEL ONLY

On 09/02/2015 at approximately 1123 hours, I, Ofc. K. Young #551 met with a female subject in the lobby of Sycamore Police Department regarding harassment.

I spoke with the female subject, later identified as, \_\_\_\_\_ in the lobby. \_\_\_\_\_ stated she was concerned that a pornographic video she sent in January had been disbursed to other men. \_\_\_\_\_ showed me a text message conversation that she had with Ross, Garret ( \_\_\_\_\_ 1993) on Sunday, 08/30/2015. \_\_\_\_\_ explained that she had romantic relations in January-February 2015 with a professional Ice-Hogs hockey player named \_\_\_\_\_ is a teammate of Garret. During her romantic relations, she exchanged nude photos and videos with \_\_\_\_\_ that she believed to be private. After having romantic relations for approximately six to eight weeks, she discovered \_\_\_\_\_ had a girlfriend and she discontinued relations with him. \_\_\_\_\_ stated she has not heard from him since February when she stopped talking to him.

\_\_\_\_\_ has a mutual friend with \_\_\_\_\_ and Garret's girlfriends. \_\_\_\_\_ girlfriend, \_\_\_\_\_, broke up with him after she heard \_\_\_\_\_ was having sexual relations with other woman via Tinder internet application. Garret's girlfriend, \_\_\_\_\_ also ended their relationship after learning Garret was having sexual relations with other women via Tinder internet application. \_\_\_\_\_ stated Garret and \_\_\_\_\_ became upset and blamed her for spreading lies to their girlfriends. \_\_\_\_\_ stated she had no contact with their girlfriends and did not know why \_\_\_\_\_ and Garret were so upset with her. It was after an encounter between their mutual friends on Sunday, 08/30/2015, that she received text messages from Garret harassing her about Tinder and the video she sent to \_\_\_\_\_ I previously. During their text messaging conversation, Garret sent a video to \_\_\_\_\_ that she had previously sent to \_\_\_\_\_ in January 2015. The video was of sexual nature and showed \_\_\_\_\_ . The video had \_\_\_\_\_ face, genitalia, and the act of \_\_\_\_\_ visible to the viewer.

\_\_\_\_\_ said she never gave \_\_\_\_\_ permission to share the video with any others. She expressed concern that \_\_\_\_\_ is upset with her due to the recent breakup between him and \_\_\_\_\_ stated she wanted to pursue charges against both \_\_\_\_\_ and Garret due to the invasion of her privacy.

I asked \_\_\_\_\_, if she would be willing to complete a written witness statement and she agreed.

I notified Detective J. Miller #542 with the case information. At that time, Miller and I met with \_\_\_\_\_ in the interview room #109. \_\_\_\_\_ explained her concerns and the details of the case to Miller and I.

\_\_\_\_\_ stated she would return at 0800 hours on 09/03/2015 to turn her cell phone over for an evidence dump.

This case is pending, forwarded to investigations for further.

End of Report.

Attachments: Written witness statement

CASE SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT

Printed: 10/20/2015 10:32

Sycamore Police Department

OCA: 150900012

THE INFORMATION BELOW IS CONFIDENTIAL - FOR USE BY AUTHORIZED PERSONNEL ONLY

Case Status: PENDING ACTIVE

Case Mng Status: ACTIVE, OPEN

Occurred: 09/02/2015

Offense: HARASSING OTHER THAN TELEPHONE

Investigator: MILLER, JONATHAN S (2138)

Date / Time: 10/08/2015 09:04:29, Thursday

Supervisor: SWARTZENDRUBER, RODNEY L

Supervisor Review Date / Time: 10/12/2015 00:00:00, Monday

Contact:

Reference: Follow Up


Summary: Search response received. Search warrant obtained and executed.

On 9/29/15, I, Detective Miller, received the search warrant response from AT&T Wireless. The response identified [redacted] as the subscriber. [redacted] is a relative of Garret Ross, possibly his [redacted]. The search warrant response also identified the device associated with phone number: [redacted] to be an Apple iPhone 5, International Mobile Equipment Identity (IMEI): [redacted]

On 9/30/15, I saved the search warrant response to a CDR labeled "AT&T 1509-12." I made a copy of the CDR to include in the case file. I secured both copies in an investigations locker. I contacted AT&T and confirmed that the date and time identified in the search warrant response was in fact for 8/31/15 UTC even though the search warrant response details were dated 9/1/15 UTC. The AT&T representative advised that there system automatically converts for the time zone difference, but that the response provided was accurate for the information requested. I spoke with another AT&T representative on 10/4/15 and was again advised that the search warrant response provided was accurate for the information requested.

On 10/7/15, I completed a search warrant for the seizure, search and analysis of the device, Apple iPhone 5, IMEI: [redacted], identified in the search warrant response. I reviewed the search warrant with ASA Harris. We went before Judge Waller and obtained the search warrant.

Commander Anderson, Detective Goodman and I went to [redacted] Rockford, IL 61101. Upon arrival, we located a vehicle ([redacted]) parked in the parking garage. The vehicle registered to Garret. At 1423 hours, I met with Garret as he approached his vehicle. Garret produced his phone, Apple iPhone 5, IMEI: [redacted]. Garret advised this was his only phone. When asked how long has he had it; Garret replied "I've had it forever." Garret unlocked his phone. I told Garret that I would be seizing his phone and gave him a copy of the search warrant. The make and model of Garret's phone matched the information in the search warrant response. I compared the IMEI identified in the search warrant to the IMEI Garret's phone. The only discrepancy appeared to be the last digit between the IMEI numbers. I contacted ASA Harris and advised him that the make and model information matched, but that there was a discrepancy between the last number of the IMEIs. Harris and I agreed that the discrepancy was likely a clerical error on behalf of the service provider, AT&T Wireless. I seized the phone. Detective Goodman spoke with Garret and provided an evidence receipt. (see supplement) I attempted to confirm with Garret that his phone number was ([redacted]) and before I could finish the last four digits Garret said yes. I asked Garret if he had any idea what this was about and Garret said he thought so. I told Garret I would like to speak with him about it, but Garret said that he would like his attorney present. I gave Garret my business card and told him to contact me or have his attorney contact me. I returned to the Sycamore Police Department and secured the phone in an evidence locker.

  
Investigator Signature

  
Supervisor Signature

CASE SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT  
NOT SUPERVISOR APPROVED

Printed: 10/12/2015 11:26

Sycamore Police Department

OCA: 150900012

THE INFORMATION BELOW IS CONFIDENTIAL - FOR USE BY AUTHORIZED PERSONNEL ONLY

Case Status: PENDING ACTIVE

Case Mng Status: ACTIVE, OPEN

Occurred: 09/02/2015

Offense: HARASSING OTHER THAN TELEPHONE

Investigator: GOODMAN, RYAN D (7630) *R6537*

Date / Time: 10/07/2015 14:09:00, Wednesday

Supervisor: (0)

Supervisor Review Date / Time: NOT REVIEWED *BT*

Contact: Ross, Garret E

Reference: Call Out/assist

; Dearborn Heights

On October 7th, 2015 at approximately 1400 hours, I - Det. Ryan Goodman #537 - assisted Det. Miller #542 with this case. During the investigation, Det. Miller provided me with an iPhone 5, Serial [REDACTED], which I inspected for the information to confirm the correct device for the Search Warrant. Upon collecting the phone, I immediately placed the device in Airplane Mode. I kept the phone active, so that the phone would not lock. I attempted to place the phone in a mode where the password would not be needed, but it would not allow me to select this option without the password.

I advised Ross I would like him to enter the password for the phone so that the phone could remain unlocked. Ross agreed and entered the password for the phone so that I could remove the password lock from the phone. I confirmed that I could access the phone without a passcode and turned off the device. I completed an Evidence Receipt for Ross, but Ross did not wish to sign the evidence receipt. I provided Ross with a copy of the receipt, see attached. I turned the phone over to Det. Miller #542. End of Report.

*Det. Ryan D Goodman #537*  
Investigator Signature

*[Signature]*  
Supervisor Signature

CASE SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT  
NOT SUPERVISOR APPROVED

Printed: 02/04/2016 17:47

Sycamore Police Department

OCA: 150900012

THE INFORMATION BELOW IS CONFIDENTIAL - FOR USE BY AUTHORIZED PERSONNEL ONLY

Case Status: CLEARED BY ARREST

Case Mng Status: ACTIVE, OPEN

Occurred: 09/02/2015

Offense: HARASSING OTHER THAN TELEPHONE

Investigator: MILLER, JONATHAN S (2138)

Date / Time: 02/04/2016 09:17:10, Thursday

Supervisor: (0)

Supervisor Review Date / Time: NOT REVIEWED


Contact:


Reference: Follow Up

Summary: Non- Consensual Dissemination of Private Sexual Images arrest warrant obtained for Garret Ross with bond set \$5000/ 10%.

On 2/3/16, I, Officer Miller, met with \_\_\_\_\_; at the Sycamore Police Department. \_\_\_\_\_ signed a complaint against Garret Ross for Non- Consensual Dissemination of Private Sexual Images. I met with ASA Klein and reviewed the complaint. We went before Judge Waller and obtained a Non- Consensual Dissemination of Private Sexual Images arrest warrant for Ross with bond set \$5000/ 10%. I left a message for Ross to contact me. Ross' attorney, \_\_\_\_\_, contacted me. On 2/4/16, I returned \_\_\_\_\_ phone call and advised him of the situation. \_\_\_\_\_ advised they would come to the Sycamore Police Department.

At approximately 1630 hours, Ross arrived at the Sycamore Police Department with his attorney \_\_\_\_\_. Under \_\_\_\_\_ guidance, Ross refused to answer any questions. Dispatch confirmed the warrant was valid. Ross was fingerprinted, photographed and processed. Ross posted \$500 USC and was advised of his court date. Ross completed the bond form using phone number, \_\_\_\_\_. The same phone used during the correspondence with \_\_\_\_\_. Ross signed the bond form, but in the wrong spot. I issued Ross his paperwork and he was released. I attached the bond to the Bail/ Bond forms and placed it in the bond deposit box.

  
Investigator Signature

  
Supervisor Signature

**CASE SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT**  
*NOT SUPERVISOR APPROVED*

Printed: 03/22/2016 12:10

*Sycamore Police Department*

OCA: **150900012**

---

THE INFORMATION BELOW IS CONFIDENTIAL - FOR USE BY AUTHORIZED PERSONNEL ONLY

---

Case Status: *CLEARED BY ARREST*

Case Mng Status: *ACTIVE, OPEN*

Occurred: *09/02/2015*

Offense: *HARASSING OTHER THAN TELEPHONE*

---

Investigator: *YOUNG, KAITLYN N (3343)*

Date / Time: *03/22/2016 12:04:30, Tuesday*

Supervisor: *ALLEN, DANA R (0120)*

Supervisor Review Date / Time: *NOT REVIEWED*

Contact:

Reference: *Follow Up*

---

On 03/22/2016, I, Ofc. K. Young #551, reviewed the case file and observed a keystroke mistake in the original case report from 09/02/2015.

The original report reads Garret E. Ross ( /1993) when it should read Garret E. Ross ( /1992).

End of supplemental report.

Attachments: none.

Investigator Signature

Supervisor Signature

**ROSS, GARRET E**



Photo Date: 02/04/16 04:45:23 PM

ROSS, GARRET E (W M /1992)